#### **INTRUSION-RELATED GOLD DEPOSITS**

#### SE Europe Geoscience Foundation Shortcourse

Dr Tim Baker Economic Geology Research Unit, School of Earth Sciences, James Cook University Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia Ph: 61-7-47814756, Email: Timothy.Baker@jcu.edu.au







 Part 1: Classification, nomenclature & deposit comparison

#### • Part 2: Examples: Tintina Gold Province

Part 3: Other examples & exploration





# INTRUSION RELATED GOLD DEPOSITS CHARACTERISTICS

- Sillitoe (1991)
  - Gold-rich porphyry deposits
  - Epithermal & skarn in porphyry Cu environments
  - Gold related to alkalic magmatism
  - Mostly associated oxidized intrusions

 Intrusion related gold deposits in Sn-W terranes (Thompson et al., 1999)





# NOMENCLATURE

- Porphyry Au (Hollister, 1992)
- Fort Knox-style Au (Bakke, 1995)
- Intrinsic Au (Newberry et al., 1995)
- Plutonic Au (McCoy et al., 1997)
- Intrusion-related Au (Thompson et al., 1999)
- Granitoid Au (Goldfarb et al., 1999)
- Thermal aureole gold systems (Wall, 2005)





# CHARACTERISTICS

- Intrusion related Au deposits in Sn-W terranes
- Metals
  - Au, Bi, Te, W, Mo, As (Sb, Sn, Pb, Cu)
- Magmas
  - Intermediate to felsic (wide range SiO2)
  - I-type (crustal input, transitional S-type)
  - IIm>Mag
  - W-Sn-Mo association





# CHARACTERISTICS

Tectonic environment

- Continental setting, inboard, commonly late

- Age
  - Phanerozoic (Precambrian Archean?)
  - Intrusions = mineralization
- Ore
  - Au, Bi, Te, W, Mo, As (Sb, Sn, Pb, Cu)
  - Reduced (no Mag-Hem), low sulfide (Po-Py-Apy)
- Style
  - Sheeted, breccia, stockwork, flat-vein, disseminated





# LOCATION OF MAJOR GRANITE RELATED GOLD DEPOSITS











? EGRU 🛪

GEOLOGICAL EXPLORATION MODEL



#### **INTRUSION RELATED GOLD SYSTEMS IN Sn-W PROVINCES**



(Baker et al., 2005a)





Metallogenic Association	Region/ Deposit	Granite type	SiO2 wt %	Granitoid Series	Alumina saturation	Accessory minerals (in addition to zircon & apatite)
<b>Sn</b> -W-Bi	Cornwall	S	71-74	Ilmenite	peraluminous	ilmenite, monazite, andalusite, topaz, fluorite
<b>Sn</b> -W-Bi	Herberton	1	73-77	Ilmenite	peraluminous	ilmenite, monazite, topaz, fluorite
<b>Sn-</b> W-Bi	Fairbanks- Circle	I.	71-77	Ilmenite	peraluminous	ilmenite, titanite, monazite, tourmaline, topaz
Sn-W	Western Thailand	I/S	70-74	Ilmenite	peraluminous	ilmenite, andalusite, pyrrhotite
W-Sn-Mo	Jiangxi	S	66-76	Magnetite	peraluminous	magnetite, ilmenite, garnet, monazite, tourmaline, fluorite
W-Cu-Mo	E Yukon	1	67-77	Ilmenite	metaluminous to peraluminous	ilmenite, monazite, garnet, andalusite, allanite, tourmaline
W-Mo-Sn-Bi	Altaid orogenic belt	l.	63-77	Magnetite	metaluminous to peraluminous	magnetite, titanite, monazite, allanite, ilmenite
W-Mo-Bi-Sn	Herberton	1	56-72	Magnetite	metaluminous to peraluminous	allanite, fluorite, ilmenite
W-Sn-Au	Iberia	I/S	62-76	Ilmenite	metaluminous to peraluminous	cordierite, garnet, titanite, andalusite, sillimanite, tourmaline, topaz
Au-Bi-W	Tintina Gold Province	l.	50-74	Ilmenite	metaluminous to peraluminous	ilmenite, titanite, allanite
Au-Bi-Mo	Tasman Fold Belt	I	49-78	Both	metaluminous to peraluminous	magnetite, ilmenite, titanite, fluorite
Cu-Au-Mo	SW Arizona	I	48-79	Magnetite	metaluminous to peraluminous	magnetite, titanite







#### MAGMAS & METALS









#### MAGMAS & METALS







#### (Baker et al., 2005a)

#### MAGMAS & METALS





(Baker et al., 2005a)



#### GRANITE Au-Bi & Sn-W COMPARISON







#### **COMPARISON WITH OTHER ORE SYSTEMS**







# COMPARISON WITH OROGENIC GOLD

- Differences
  - Metals: Au-As-Sb-(W-Bi-Te)
  - Magma: Lacks spatial/temporal relationship
  - Structure: Regional scale faults
  - Ore: Py abundant
  - Alteration: Varies: host rock/metamorphic grade
- Similarities
  - Metals: Intrusion-hosted may have W-Bi-Te
  - Magma: Lacks spatial/temporal relationship
  - Structure: Deeper IRG have regional stress influence
  - Ore: Low-mod sulfide, reduced Po-Asp
  - Alteration: Albite, carbonate, quartz
  - Fluids: Low salinity,  $H_2O-CO_2-(CH_4)$





# EPITHERMAL/EPIZONAL GOLD Shallow-level IRG

- Donlin Creek, Brewery Creek, Korri Kollo
- Similarities: depth; As-Sb-Hg association
- Differences:
  - General geological & tectonic environment
  - LS lack textures & wide structurally-controlled veins
  - HS lack acidic fluids & related-alteration features; low Cu
  - Shallow IRG High CO<sub>2</sub> content to fluids
- Epizonal orogenic gold
  - Less well defined
  - Shallow IRG spatial & temporal association with intrusions





## SKARN & SED-HOSTED GOLD

- Parallels with reduced Au skarns (Meinert, 2000)
  - Part of total hydrothermal system
  - Calcareous host rocks
  - Associated with ilmenite series diorite to granodiorite
  - Reduced sulfide mineralogy; Au-Bi common
- Non-carbonate sequences may have links to Carlin systems (Poulson et al, 1997)





## **COMPARISON WITH PCD's**

- Metals: Cu-Au-Fe-Pb-Zn-Ag-Mo
- Magmas: Oxidized I-type, higher Fe content
- Style: Multiple intrusions, stockwork & breccia
- Ore: High sulfide content, oxidized
- Alteration: Extensive, variety of types
- Fluids: High salinity, aqueous; carbonic rare





### REDUCED PCD'S (Rowins, 2000)

- Most examples NOT Cu deposits
- Some overlap with shallow porphyry-hosted environment (e.g. Shotgun but again no Cu)
- Also distinct from alkalic Au & Cu-Au systems
- More parallels with W-Sn-Mo systems





#### THERMAL AUREOLE GOLD SYSTEMS



Deposit Location		Age	Host Rocks	Gold Resource and Comments	
Fort Knox	Alaska	Cretaceous	granitoids	>5.6Moz; pluton margin hosted	
Pogo	Alaska	Cretaceous	gneisses	5.7Moz @ 17.8g//t; pluton proximal	
Muruntau Uzbekistan		Permian	meta- sediments	>100Moz @ 2- 3g/t; medium temperature mineralisation	
Kumtor	Kyrgyzstan	Permian	meta- sediments	9.3Moz @ 3.6g/t; pluton distal	
Vasilko- vskoye	Kazakhstan	Early Palaeozoic	granitoids	13.3Moz @ 3g/t; pluton margin hosted	
Telfer	Australia	Late Proterozoic	mainly meta- sediments	>31Moz; pluton distal Granites	
Tanami	Australia	Early Proterozoic	meta- sediments	>13Moz; medium high gold grades pluton proximal to distal	
Obuasi Ghana		Early Proterozoic	meta- sediments	>49Moz production + resources; pluton distal	
Morila	Mali	Early Proterozoic	meta- sediments	>7.0Moz; pluton proximal	
Wallaby	Australia	Late Archaean	meta- sediments	7Moz; pluton distal	
Campbell- Red Lake		Late Archaean	mafics- ultramafics	>25Moz @ >15g/t; pluton proximal	

Table 1: Some examples of TAG deposits



(Wall, 2005)





 Part 1: Classification, nomenclature & deposit comparison

#### • Part 2: Examples: Tintina Gold Province

#### Part 3: Other examples & exploration





### **TGB EXAMPLES**

Deposit	Size	Grade	Country rocks	Intrusion	Deposit type	Age	Metal
	(Mt)	(g/t )		composition		(Ma)	suite
Tintina Gold Belt							
Fort Knox, Alaska	- 5	M.02.	Mica-quartz schist	Porphyritic granite	Sheeted veins	92	Bi, Te, Mo, As, Sb, W
Pogo, Alaska	75	M.oz.	Gneiss	Granite, aplite	Flat lenses	107-92?	Bi, Te, As, Ag, Cu, Pb
Ryan Lode, Alaska	4.1	3.1	Quartz-mica schist	Granodiorite	Veins, breccia	90	As, Sb
True North, Alaska	16.5	2.5	Schist & eclogite	Granite	Disseminated, breccia	90	As, Sb, (Hg)
Dublin Gulch, Alaska	50.3	1.0Z.	Qtz-bt & calcareous schist	Granodiorite	Sheeted veins	92	Bi, Te, Mo, As, Sb, W, Pb
Brewery Creek, Yukon	13.3	1.4	Calcareous schist	Monzonite, syenite	Disseminated, veinlets	91.4	As, Sb, (Hg)
Shotgun, Alaska	~1N	1.oz.	Quartz-biotite schist	Granite	Stockwork	70	Ag, Bi, Mo, Te, Cu
Donlin Creek, Alaska	> 25	M.oz.	Greywacke & shale	Rhyodacite	Veins, veinlets	70	Ag, As, Sb, Hg



#### (Thompson et al., 1999)



### TINTINA GOLD BELT





#### (Flanigan et al., 2000)



# **TECTONIC SETTING**

#### Central-eastern Alaska & Yukon

- Orthogonal subduction Farallon plate 115-100 Ma
- Continued subduction dextral component 100-85 Ma
- Coincident magmatism younging cratonwards
- Strong crustal component (Nd<sub>T</sub> –7.6 to –15; <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr 0.709-0.702)
- Kula plate oblique subduction, dextral strike-slip 85 Ma

#### Western Alaska

- Magmatic arc 77 to 58 Ma
- Local N-S compression plate reorganization ~ 70 Ma
- Kuskokwim magmatism shallow at continental margin

(Flanigan et al., 2000; Goldfarb et al., 2000)



#### TINTINA GOLD BELT





#### (Goldfarb et al., 2000)





? EGRU 🛪

GEOLOGICAL EXPLORATION MODEL



### **TPS WESTERN YUKON**







### TINTINA GOLD BELT





#### (Flanigan et al., 2000)



# FORT KNOX







# FORT KNOX







# FORT KNOX (7.2 M.oz.)

- Exploration & mining
  - Placer gold 1902 in creeks down stream
  - Au-W veins & skarns 1913 peripheral to FK
  - Bismuthinite with Au 1980 proximal creeks
  - -Visible Au in granite 1984
  - Advanced exploration 1987 to 1994
  - Construction 1995; bulk tonnage open pit
  - Production 1996; 169 Mt @ 0.93 g/t
  - -1 M.oz. 1999





## FORT KNOX

 Intrusion Characteristics **Tombstone** suite Granodiorite to granite Ilmenite series, I-type Late aplites & pegmatites Locally UST & brain rock textures Age U-Pb 92 Ma - Intrusion Ar-Ar ~88-86 Ma - Muscovite alt. Re-Os 92.5 Ma - Molybdenite







#### FORT KNOX









#### FORT KNOX (looking W)





(Bakke, 1994)



# FORT KNOX (looking W)





(Bakke, 2000)


Vein Characteristics Pegmatites & sheeted veins (min'l) Overprinted quartz filled faults (min'l) Au-Bi-Te-As-Sb-W-Mo (inc. deeper) Sulfide <1% - Py, Po, Apy, Mo, Sch Ore Characteristics Bi, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> Free Au, ~111microns, >960 fineness Au:Bi 0.86 (Bakke, 1994; McCoy et al., 1997)





















 Alteration Early Albite > K-feldspar Quartz-Sericite-Carbonate Regional propylitic & pyrite halo Fluids Low salinity aqueous-carbonic 250-500°C @ >1.5kbar, >5km Oxygen isotopes fluid 5 to 10 per mil Sulphur isotopes 0±5 per mil





# **DUBLIN GULCH**







## DUBLIN GULCH (~2 M.oz.)

#### • Exploration

- Placer Au mining established 1895 1898; scheelite reported
- Rex-Peso Pb-Zn-Ag veins explored 1910
- Cassiterite found Tin Dome (<0.3 % Sn)</li>
- Au-W-Bi reported (Boyle, 1965)
- W-skarn targeted;soil sampling& mapping 1970-1980
- Ray Gulch 5.4 Mt.@ 0.82 % WO<sub>3</sub>
- Gold in peripheral veins explored 1986-1988
- 1991 to 1996 gold soil anomaly around Eagle Zone drilled





## **DUBLIN GULCH**









## **DUBLIN GULCH**

• Intrusion Characteristics

Granodiorite to granite Ilmenite series, I-type Late aplites & pegmatites

• Vein & Ore Characteristics

Predominantly sheeted veins (2 main stages) *Au-Bi-Te*-As-Sb-Pb-W-Mo (inc. deeper) Sulphide <3% - Py, Po, Apy, Sch, Gal, Au-Pb-Bi-Te-Sb Free Au & Au-Bi, ~155microns, ~1000 fineness Au:Bi – 0.89





#### EAGLE ZONE









#### EAGLE ZONE PARAGENESIS





(Maloof et al, 2001)



## EAGLE ZONE VEIN









#### EAGLE ZONE PARAGENESIS





#### (Maloof et al, 2001)



### EAGLE ZONE PARAGENESIS





#### (Maloof et al, 2001)



### EAGLE ZONE GEOCHEMISTRY

	Au	Bi	As	Sb	Ag	Мо	VV	Zn	Pb
Cu	0.14	0.15	0.59	0.56	0.63	0.23	0.00	0.59	0.64
Pb	0.08	0.07	0.68	0.89	0.89	0.08	0.00	0.93	
Zn	0.03	0.02	0.58	0.74	0.77	0.10	0.00		
W	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.55			
Мо	0.15	0.17	0.07	0.04	0.07				
Ag	0.24	0.21	0.79	0.85					
Sb	0.07	0.05	0.61						
As	0.28	0.24							
Bi	0.90								





## **RAY GULCH**









#### RAY GULCH PARAGENESIS





#### (Brown et al, 2001)



## EAGLE ZONE GEOCHEMISTRY

		Ave.
Au	up to 40 g/t	0.83
Bi	up to 500 ppm	19
W	up to 2000 ppm	11
Мо	up to 700 ppm	6
As	up to & >10,000 ppm	195
Pb	up to & >10,000 ppm	59
Zn	up to & >10,000 ppm	108
Sb	up to 5,000 ppm	11
Cu	up to 355 ppm	34



(Maloof et al, 2001)



## **RAY GULCH GEOCHEMISTRY**

	W	Мо	Sn	Au (ppb)	Bi	Sb	As	Zn	Ag
Wol-Qtz Skarn (n=2)	<334	<6	bd	bd	<1	<0.5	1-5	bd	bd
Pyx Škarn (n=9)	200 to 50000	<180	bd	bd (10, 38, 13)	<1	<5	<5	<300	bd
Vein (n=14)	0 to >100000	<90	bd	bd (148)	<1	<5	<14	<150	bd







# RAY GULCH VS EAGLE ZONE

- RG skarn replacement > vein (~10 vol. %)
- EZ sheeted veins
- EZ Stage II key Au-Bi event
- Stage missing in RG skarn
- Stage III-V RG = Stage I EZ Qtz-Fsp-Sch









EGRUM OUTLINE OF EXAMPLES IN SHORTCOURSE









### SHOTGUN (~1 M.oz.)





(Rombach & Newberry, 2001)



## SHOTGUN

- Geology & Mineralization
  - Granite porphyry (70 Ma)
  - Stockwork & breccia; local UST/brain rock
  - Early albite, later sericite-carbonate
  - Apy-Py-Po-Loel-Cpy-Mo-Bn-Sch
  - Au-Bi-Te (Au:Bi 0.76)





# SHOTGUN

- Fluids
  - Reduced ore assemblages ( $\delta$ S –5.5 to –5.0)
  - Fluid inclusions: vapour & brine; 300-600C
  - Vapour:  $H_2O>CO_2>CH_4$ , low salinity
  - Brine: 40-60 wt.% NaCl equiv.
  - Pressure/depth: ~0.5 kbar/ ~2 km
  - O, H, S isotopes & fluids = magmatic





## SHOTGUN PARAGENESIS

	Early				Late
Sulfide assemblage(s)	asp+lo+po+ cpy*±cb±bn <sup>#</sup>	asp+po+cpy, cpy+bn <sup>#</sup>	py+asp+cpy ±spl	py+cpy±bn ±spl	none
Alteration assemblage (plus quartz vein)	albite±tourm (biotite,K-spar)	albite±sericite ±(rutile±tour± chlorite)	sericite±carb (±rutile)	sericite±carb	calcite
Gold assemblage	Au°+Bi-Te, Au₂Bi	Au-Ag $^{\circ}$ +Bi $_{2}$ S $_{3}$ ±, Bi-Te sulfide	Au-Ag°+Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	?	none?
Vein styles	Veinlet, disseminated	Vein, veinlet, breccia	Vein	Vein	Veinlet
Gold occurrence	Inclusions in arsenopyrite	Isolated grains	?	?	?
Approximate temperature range	>500°C	500 to 400°C	400 to 350°C	<350°C	?



#### (Rombach & Newberry, 2001)



## SHOTGUN TEXTURES





- A Stockwork
- C Breccia
- D UST/brain rock

Au-Bi – 0.73



(Rombach & Newberry, 2001)





EGRUM OUTLINE OF EXAMPLES IN SHORTCOURSE



## TINTINA GOLD BELT





#### (Flanigan et al., 2000)









## DONLIN CREEK (28 M.oz.)

- Exploration
  - Placer gold 1909
  - Lode ore found 1940 above placer fields
  - Ongoing exploration 1970-1990's
  - Rock chip & soil sampling (>250ppb over ore)
  - RC & diamond drilling







# DONLIN CREEK



- Geology & mineralization
  - Rhyolite dykes hosted in reduced flysch sediments
  - Magmatism & mineralization71 to 66 Ma
  - Fault controlled NE & NW strike-slip
  - Narrow Au-As-Sb-Hg veins in dykes>sediments
  - Ore within NNE extensional fracture zone
  - Epithermal/epizonal
    characteristics







## DONLIN CREEK VEINS











# DONLIN CREEK MAGMATIC MODEL









## TINTINA GOLD BELT





#### (Flanigan et al., 2000)



## BREWERY CREEK (1.4 M.oz.)

- Exploration
  - Discovered in 1987
  - Soil geochemistry
  - –>25ppb over 12km strike
  - Reserve trend ~12 major gold zones
  - Open pit mining between 1997 & 2001






### **BREWERY CREEK**

- Geology
  - 80 % ore hosted in Tombstone suite intrusions
  - 20 % hosted in Cambrian-Carboniferous sediments
  - Reserve trend comprises
    - Lies outside magnetic high (aureole/intrusion)
    - E-W monzonite
    - Normal, dip-slip E-W, NW & NNE brittle faults
    - Veins & ore trend E-W with NNE component



(Lindsay, 2002)



#### **BREWERY CREEK MAP**









#### **BREWERY CREEK MAGNETICS**







### **BREWERY CREEK CROSS SECTION**







#### (Diment, 1995; Lindsay, 2002)



#### **BREWERY CREEK GRADE TRENDS**





![](_page_76_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_76_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_76_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **BREWERY CREEK**

- Ore
  - Mineralization in monzonite, 3 vein stages
    1) pyrite-quartz-carbonate-roscoelite
    2) arsenopyrite-carbonate-quartz-gold
    3) stibnite-quartz-carbonate-adularia
    Only oxide ore processed (weathering ~10-30m)
  - Hypogene gold in arsenopyrite & arsenian pyrite

![](_page_77_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_77_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **BREWERY CREEK PARAGENESIS**

![](_page_78_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_78_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_78_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **BREWERY CREEK**

![](_page_79_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_79_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_79_Picture_3.jpeg)

# POGO (> 5 M.oz.)

- Exploration
  - Geochemical sampling Goodpaster River 1981
  - Au, As, W anomalies in Pogo & Liese Creeks
  - Soil sampling & surface-exploration 1993
  - ->100ppb Au in soils anomaly 2km<sup>2</sup>
  - Drilling soil anomaly 1994 Liese zone
  - Drive developed 1999-2000
  - Pour first gold end 2005

![](_page_80_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_80_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_81_Picture_1.jpeg)

1

SN29 5730

- Topo relief 830m (1225m -400m ASL)
- Deepest Drilling is 230m ASL returned 2.5m @ 7.16 g/t Au at L3 level.

L2

 1500m relief from deep bottom drilling to Top Hill 4021

![](_page_81_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_81_Picture_6.jpeg)

**L**3

![](_page_81_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_82_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_82_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_82_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Host rocks
  - L. Proterozoic M. Paleozoic gneiss
    - Amphibolite facies
  - M. Cretaceous granite dykes, aplites & pegmatites
    - Reduced I-type, 15% vol.
  - Post-mineralization dolerites
- Age
  - U-Pb 107 to 93 Ma Intrusions
  - Ar-Ar ~91-92 Ma Mica alt
  - Re-Os ~104Ma Molybdenite

![](_page_83_Picture_12.jpeg)

# POGO (> 5 M.oz.)

![](_page_84_Picture_1.jpeg)

(Smith et al., 1999; Selby et al., 2002)

![](_page_84_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_84_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### • Ore

Sulphide ~3% Reduced assemblage: Po-Lo-Apy-Py-Ccp *Au-Bi*-Pb-*Te-Ag*-S phases; Au:Bi 0.89

![](_page_85_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_86_Picture_0.jpeg)

 Part 1: Classification, nomenclature & deposit comparison

#### • Part 2: Examples: Tintina Gold Province

Part 3: Other examples & exploration

![](_page_86_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_86_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Late Paleozoic gold deposits, Tien Shan

![](_page_87_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### (Yakubchuk et al., 2002)

![](_page_87_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Figure_0.jpeg)

# LOCATION OF MAJOR INTRUSION RELATED GOLD DEPOSITS

![](_page_89_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_89_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### (Lang & Baker, 2001)

![](_page_89_Picture_4.jpeg)

# KIDSTON (4 M.oz.)

- Regional Geology
  - Kennedy Igneous Province
  - Mid-Carboniferous-Permian intrusions
  - I-type granites, crustally derived
  - Similar tectonic setting to TGB?
  - Regional Au-Sn-W-Bi-Mo-As-Sb

![](_page_90_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_90_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **KIDSTON**

- Deposit Geology
  - Magmatic-hydrothermal breccia pipe
  - Intrudes Proterozoic granitoid & gneiss
  - Gold in breccia & sheeted veins
  - Rhyolite sills & dykes
  - Py-Po-Sph-Ccp-Mo-Gal-Apy-Bi; zoned
  - Deeper Mo-W mineralization
  - Ser-Carb-Qtz alteration

![](_page_91_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_92_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_92_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### (Baker & Andrew, 1991)

![](_page_92_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **KIDSTON TEXTURES**

![](_page_93_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_93_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_93_Picture_3.jpeg)

# TIMBARRA (0.4 M.oz.)

- Geology & Mineralization
  - Zoned granite pluton (250-245 Ma)
  - Age ore & alteration = intrusion
  - Disseminated Au-Bi-Ag-Te-(Mo-As-Sb)
  - Sulfide < 1%; Apy-Py-Moly-Au-Bi-Te-Ag</li>
  - Magmatic-hydrothermal transition

![](_page_94_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_94_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_94_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### **TIMBARRA MAP**

![](_page_95_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **TIMBARRA CROSS SECTION**

![](_page_96_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_96_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_96_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_96_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **TIMBARRA GRANITE FACIES & Au**

![](_page_97_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_97_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_97_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_97_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **TIMBARRA PARAGENESIS & FLUIDS**

Process	Late Magmatic ➡	Transitional Magmatic- Hydrotherma		Hydrother	mal
Mineralization Style	Stage 1	Stage 2a	Stage 2b	Stage 3	Stage 4
Miarolitic cavities Aplite dykes Pegmatite veins Vein-dikes Quartz-moly veins Fractures (Au) Comb veins Chalcedonic veins Hematite staining					
Melt Inclusions High XCO2 Mod-Low XCO2 Mod-Low Salinity H2O					

![](_page_98_Picture_2.jpeg)

(Mustard, 2001; Mustard, 2000)

![](_page_98_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### SUMMARY CHARACTERISTICS

	Style	Alteration	Metals	Fluids
Shallow (<3km, <1 kbar)	<ul> <li>veinlets, stockwork, breccia</li> <li>dikes, stocks, sills</li> </ul>	• clays, carb, fsp	• As,Sb,Hg • Bi, Te	<ul> <li>brine, CO<sub>2</sub>-vapour</li> <li>late H<sub>2</sub>O</li> </ul>

# Strong evidence for major magmatic input, epithermal/porphyry style characteristics

	Style	Alteration	Metals	Fluids
Deep (>3km, >1 kbar)	<ul> <li>sheeted, disseminated</li> <li>stocks, plutons</li> </ul>	• fsp, carb	• W, Mo • Bi, Te	<ul> <li>CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O</li> <li>some late brine</li> </ul>

![](_page_99_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_99_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_99_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_100_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_100_Picture_1.jpeg)

(Baker, 2002)

![](_page_100_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

- IRG possess range in characteristics
- Variation in part reflects depth of emplacement
- Magmatic carbon dioxide critical role
  - High pressure devolatilzation
  - Effect on other volatiles
  - Volatile composition varies with depth
- Continuum of deposit types reflect depth & fluid composition
- Exploration criteria will vary between deep, shallow, proximal, distal

![](_page_101_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_101_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **ROLE OF BISMUTH**

- Au-Bi-W-Mo-As geochemistry
- Spatial & temporal link to intrusions
- In detail spatial & temporal differences
  - W early
  - Au-Bi late
  - Main ore zones spatially separate
  - Re-emphasizes Bi association

![](_page_102_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_102_Picture_9.jpeg)

# ROLE OF BISMUTH

- Bi significant in ppt. Au
- Bi low melting point (274C), dec. with inc. pressure
- Bi will ppt. as a liquid rather than solid
- Experiments @ 300C show strong partitioning Au in Bi liquid
- Bi may concentrate Au in fluids with very low Au contents
- Low melting point Bi, Au-Bi will be late

![](_page_103_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_103_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### APPLICATION OF KEY EXPLORATION CHARACTERISTICS

- Vertical & lateral zonation about mod-reduced

granitic intrusions

Set of pathfinder elements including Au, Bi, Te,
 As, W, (Mo, Sn, Sb)

- Variety of target types within IRGS

![](_page_104_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_104_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### EXAMPLE OF IRGS EXPLORATION CHARACTERISTICS: NORTH QLD

- Reviewed mineral occurrence data sheets & 1:500,00 scale maps (Hogdkinson Province)
- Regional Scale: Tectono-Magmatic Setting
  - Continental arc environment
  - Numerous W-Sn-Mo-Bi-As & Au occurrences
  - Permo-Carb Kennedy Igneous Provence (KIP)

![](_page_105_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_105_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### EXAMPLE OF IRGS EXPLORATION CHARACTERISTICS: NORTH QLD

- Regional Scale: Intrusive types
  - Wide range of granite suites
  - Including mod reduced I-types & transitional I-S to S types
  - Highly fractionated components
  - Magmatic-hydrothermal transition textures

![](_page_106_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_106_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### EXAMPLE OF IRGS EXPLORATION CHARACTERISTICS: NORTH QLD

- Regional Scale: Variety of crustal levels exposed
  - Contact aureole development (& P-T information)
  - Presence/absence syn-intrusive volcanic rocks
  - Mineral occurrence styles
- Local Scale: Mineral Occurrence Data & Past Exploration
  - Past Au exploration focussed on Kidston-breccia styles &/or porphyry Cu-Au systems
  - Wider range of IRGS styles not widely tested
  - W-Bi-As-(Mo,Sn) prospects poorly tested for Au

![](_page_107_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_107_Picture_10.jpeg)
- Local Scale: Target Criteria
  - Spatial associated with mod-red I-type KIP intrusives
  - Geochemistry of mineral occurrences (including placer)
    - Au-Bi ±(W-Sn-Mo-Cu-Pb-As) highest potential
    - Au-W (Bi commonly n/a)
    - Bi±(W-Sn-Mo±Cu no recorded Au commonly n/a)
    - Au-As-Sb distal from intrusives, mesothermal-epithermal

#### Target type

 Shallow (Donlin Creek-style); Mod-Deep proximal (Fort Knox-style); Mod-Deep distal (Pogo-style)





## N QLD HODGKINSON PROVINCE





#### (modified from Garrad & Bultitude, 1999)











#### M5: 8.6ppm Au, 657ppm Bi



M2: 0.5ppm Au, 140ppm Bi













T14 (1.26 ppm Au; 20.71 Bi) Quartz-muscovite vein with narrow muscovite (greisen-like) alteration halo Tinaroo Creek

T18 (2.42 ppm Au; 58 ppm Bi) Quartz-muscovite pegmatite -like vein





## **CONCLUSIONS I**

- IRGS have a coherent, useable set of empirical exploration characteristics
- Critical features include
  - Vertical & lateral zonation about mod-reduced granitic intrusions
  - Set of pathfinder elements including Au, Bi, Te, As,
    W, (Mo, Sn, Sb)
  - Variety of target types within IRGS





## **CONCLUSIONS II**

- Belts known for magmatic related W-Mo-Sn systems are high priority target areas
  - Au-(Bi-W) placer occurrences provide good indicator
  - Such regions commonly lack thorough testing of IRGS model
  - Commonly not sampled for Au & Bi
- Many regions can be regionally evaluated quickly through database searches & GIS approaches



